Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,432	BEHNAMRAD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HARRY A. GROSSO	3781
All Participants:	ts: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>HARRY A. GROSSO</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>FELIX D'AMBROSIO</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 October 2010	Time: <u>11AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 29		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Harry A. Grosso/ Examiner, Art Unit 3781	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/577,432

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Grosso contacted Mr. D'Ambrosio to obtain approval of an examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance. Mr. D'Ambrosio had filed an after final amendment amending claim 29 as an independent claim. The amended claim contained two items that appeared to be typographical errors. In addition, Examiner Grosso proposed a punctuation change to improve the readability of the claim. Mr. D'Ambrosio proposed one additional correction and approved all of the examiner's amendments proposed.